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Chilehaus, Hamburg Arch: Fritz Höger, 1924

## Mortar Types

Types M, S, N, O

The following mortar designations took effect in the mid-1950's:

| $\mathbf{M}$ | a | $\mathbf{S}$ | o | $\mathbf{N}$ | w | $\mathbf{O}$ | r | $\mathbf{K}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| strongest |  |  |  |  |  |  | weakest |  |



Table 2-3. Guide to the Selection of Mortar Type*

| Location | Building segment | Mortar type |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Recommended | Alternative |
| Exterior, above grade | Load-bearing walls Non-load-bearing walls Parapet walls | N <br> $\mathrm{O}^{* *}$ <br> N | S or M Nors S |
| Exterior, at or below grade | Foundation walls, retaining walls, manholes, sewers, pavements, walks, and patios | St | M or $\mathrm{N} \dagger$ |
| Interior | Load-bearing walls Non-load-bearing partitions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | Sor M $N$ |

*Adapted from ASTM C270. This table does not pr
**Type O mortar is recommended for use where the masonry is unlikely to be frozen when saturated
or unlikely to be subjected to high winds or other significant lateral loads. Type N or S mortar should
be used in other cases.
$\dagger$ Masonry exposed to weather in a nominally horizontal surface is extremely vulnerable to weathering.
Mortar for such masonry should be selected with due caution.
Note: For tuckpointing mortar, see "Tuckpointing," Chapter 9.

| mortar <br> type | Portland <br> cement | lime | sand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | 1 | $1_{4}$ | $3^{1}{ }_{2}$ |
| S | 1 | $1_{2}$ | $4^{1}{ }_{2}$ |
| N | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| O | 1 | 2 | 9 |

sum should equal $1 / 3$ of sand volume (assuming that sand has void ratio of 1 in 3 )

## Mortar Types

Type M, S, N, O
Slump is higher than cast concrete based on workability


Mold with four $8 \times 8 \times 16$-in. blocks

Fig. 2-29. ASTM C1019 method of using masonry units to form a prism for compression-testing of masonry grout.


Fig. 2-27. Slump test comparison of concrete, mortar, and masonry grout.

## Masonry Strength

Masonry strength, f'm, based on unit strength, fu, and mortar type


Clay Masonry

| Required Net Area Compressive Strength of Clay Masonry Units (psi) fu |  | f'm <br> For Net Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| When Used With Type M or S Mortar | When Used With Type N Mortar | Strength of Masonry (psi) |
| 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,000 |
| 3,350 | 4,150 | 1,500 |
| 4,950 | 6,200 | 2,000 |
| 6,600 | 8,250 | 2,500 |
| 8,250 | 10,300 | 3,000 |
| 9,900 | -- | 3,500 |
| 11,500 | -- | 4,000 |

(From Masonry Standards Joint Committee Specifications for Masonry Structures, ACI 530.1/ASCE 6/TMS 602-99)


Concrete Masonry

| Required Net Area Compressive Strength <br> of Concrete Masonry Units (psi) fu |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | f'm |
| :---: |
| For Net Area |
| Compressive |
| When Used With <br> Type M or S Mortar |
| When Used With <br> Type N Mortar |
| Strength of <br> Masonry (psi) |
| 1,250 |

(From International Building Code 2000 and Masonry Standards Joint Committee Specifications for Masonry Structures, ACI 530.1/ASCE 6/TMS 602-99)

## Constructive Properties

Typical Values

| Property |  | Clay Masonry | Concrete Masonry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit strength | $8000 p s i$ | $2000 p s i$ |  |
|  | $f_{m}^{\prime}$ | $2440 p s i$ | $1750 p s i$ |
|  | $E_{m}$ | $1.70 \times 10^{6} p s i$ | $1.58 \times 10^{6} p s i$ |
| Type M or S mortar | $f_{m}^{\prime}$ | $2920 p s i$ | $2000 p s i$ |
|  | $E_{m}$ | $2.05 \times 10^{6} p s i$ | $1.80 \times 10^{6} p s i$ |


| Property | Clay <br> Masonry | Concrete <br> Masonry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Modulus of Elasticity, $E_{m}$ | $700 f_{m}^{\prime}$ | $900 f_{m}^{\prime}$ |
| Shear Modulus, $G$ | $0.4 E_{m}$ | $0.4 E_{m}$ |
| Coefficient of Creep | $\frac{0.7 \times 10^{-7}}{p s i}$ | $\frac{2.5 \times 10^{-7}}{p s i}$ |

## Analysis and Design

## Empirical approach

based on experience
limits on lateral loading
limits on height
limits on eccentricity
(basically, no flexure)
non-reinforced

## Rational approach

based on Strength Design (LRFD) either reinforced or non-reinforced limited by strength


## Rational Masonry Analysis

Procedure
Strength Design (LRFD) - non-reinforced

Rational Approach
for axial compression
using TMS 402 (2016)

Given: geometry, material
Find: axial compressive load capacity, Pn

1. Determine the masonry strength, f'm, based on unit strength, fu, and mortar type (table)
2. Find the net area, $A_{n}$, and Moment of Inertia, In (see NCMA TEK 14-1B with HW problem pdf.)
3. Calculate radius of gyration, $r=\sqrt{I} / A$
(Equation 9-11) for $h / r<99$
$P_{n}=0.80\left\{0.80 A_{n} f_{m}^{\prime}\left[1-\left(\frac{h}{140 r}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}$
4. Calculate $h / r$
5. Choose the axial strength equation, Pn :

If $h / r<99$ use TMS 402 eq.9-11 If $h / r>99$ use TMS 402 eq.9-12
6. Calculate $\varnothing \mathrm{Pn}$ where $\varnothing$ for axial force $=0.90$
(Equation 9-12) for $h / r>99$
$P_{n}=0.80\left[0.80 A_{n} f_{m}^{\prime}\left(\frac{70 r}{h}\right)^{2}\right]$
7. Check that $\varnothing \mathrm{Pn}$ is greater than Pu .

## Masonry Strength

Masonry strength, f'm, based on unit strength, fu, and mortar type


Clay Masonry

| Required Net Area Compressive Strength <br> of Clay Masonry Units (psi) |  | f'm <br> For Net Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compressive |  |  |
| Strength of |  |  |
| When Used With <br> Type M or S Mortar | When Used With <br> Type N Mortar | Masonry (psi) |
| 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,000 |
| 3,350 | 4,150 | 1,500 |
| 4,950 | 6,200 | 2,000 |
| 6,600 | 8,250 | 2,500 |
| 8,250 | 10,300 | 3,000 |
| 9,900 | -- | 3,500 |
| 11,500 | -- | 4,000 |

(From Masonry Standards Joint Committee Specifications for Masonry Structures, ACI 530.1/ASCE 6/TMS 602-99)


Concrete Masonry

| Required Net Area Compressive Strength of Concrete Masonry Units (psi) fu |  | f'm <br> For Net Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| When Used With Type M or S Mortar | When Used With Type N Mortar | Compressive Strength of Masonry (psi) |
| 1,250 | 1,300 | 1,000 |
| 1,900 | 2,150 | 1,500 |
| 2,800 | 3,050 | 2,000 |
| 3,750 | 4,050 | 2,500 |
| 4,800 | 5,250 | 3,000 |

(From International Building Code 2000 and Masonry Standards Joint Committee Specifications for Masonry Structures, ACl 530.1/ASCE 6/TMS 602-99)

Rational Masonry Analysis
Procedure
Strength Design - non-reinforced

Rational Approach
for axial compression using TMS 402 (2016)

Section Properties of Concrete Masonry Walls NCMA TEK 14 - 1B (attached to problem description, and also on Canvas, and on NCMA website)


Figure 3-Horizontal and Vertical Cross-Sections

Rational Masonry Analysis
Procedure
Strength Design - non-reinforced

## Rational Approach

for axial compression using TMS 402 (2016)

Section Properties of Concrete Masonry Walls NCMA TEK 14 - 1B (attached to problem description and also on Canvas and on NCMA website)

Table 3-8-inch ( $\mathbf{2 0 3}-\mathrm{mm}$ ) Single Wythe Walls, $\mathbf{1}^{1 / 4} \mathrm{in}$. ( $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{~ m m}$ ) Face Shells (standard)

|  | Grout | Mortar | Net cros | -sectional | roperties ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | spacing (in.) | bedding | $A_{n}$ (in. ${ }^{2} / \mathrm{ft}$ ) | $I_{n}\left(\mathrm{in}. .^{4} \mathrm{ft}\right)$ | $S_{n}\left(\mathrm{in} .{ }^{3} / \mathrm{ft}\right)$ |
| A Hollow | No grout | Face shell | 30.0 | 308.7 | 81.0 |
| B Hollow | No grout | Full | 41.5 | 334.0 | 87.6 |
| D/E100\% so | d/solidly grouted | Full | 91.5 | 443.3 | 116.3 |
| C Hollow | 16 | Face shell | 62.0 | 378.6 | 99.3 |
| Hollow | 24 | Face shell | 51.3 | 355.3 | 93.2 |
| Hollow | 32 | Face shell | 46.0 | 343.7 | 90.1 |
| Hollow | 40 | Face shell | 42.8 | 336.7 | 88.3 |
| Hollow | 48 | Face shell | 40.7 | 332.0 | 87.1 |
| Hollow | 72 | Face shell | 37.1 | 324.3 | 85.0 |
| Hollow | 96 | Face shell | 35.3 | 320.4 | 84.0 |
| Hollow | 120 | Face shell | 34.3 | 318.0 | 83.4 |

Rational Masonry Analysis
Example
Strength Design - non-reinforced

## Example Problem

Given: geometry: 8" block, grouted 24" o.c. material: $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{m}=3000 \mathrm{psi}$
Find: check pass/fail for the given loading

1. Determine the masonry strength, $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$,
based on unit strength, fu, and mortar
2. Determine the masonry strength, $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} m$,
based on unit strength, fu, and mortar type. (given f'm = 3000 psi )

Faceshell bedding, partial grout


Rational Approach
for axial compression using TMS 402 (2016)

## Rational Masonry Analysis

Example
Strength Design - non-reinforced

Rational Approach
for axial compression using TMS 402 (2016)
2. Find the net area, $A_{n}$, and Moment of Inertia, $I_{n}$ (see NCMA TEK 14-1B)

Table 3-8-inch (203-mm) Single Wythe Walls, $1^{1 / 4} \mathrm{in}$. ( 32 mm ) Face Shells (standard)

| 3a: Horizontal Section Properties (Masonry Spanning Vertically) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Grout spacing (in.) | Mortar bedding | Net cross-sectional properties ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $A_{n}\left(\mathrm{in} .^{2} / \mathrm{ft}\right)$ | $I_{n}\left(\mathrm{in} . .^{4} \mathrm{ft}\right)$ | $S_{n}\left(\mathrm{in} .^{3} / \mathrm{ft}\right)$ |
| Hollow | No grout | Face shell | 30.0 | 308.7 | 81.0 |
| Hollow | No grout | Full | 41.5 | 334.0 | 87.6 |
| 100\% sol | d/solidly grouted | Full | 91.5 | 443.3 | 116.3 |
| Hollow | 16 | Face shell | 62.0 | 378.6 | 99.3 |
| Hollow | 24 | Face shell | 51.3 | 355.3 | 93.2 |
| Hollow | 32 | Face shell | 46.0 | 343.7 | 90.1 |
| Hollow | 40 | Face shell | 42.8 | 336.7 | 88.3 |
| Hollow | 48 | Face shell | 40.7 | 332.0 | 87.1 |
| Hollow | 72 | Face shell | 37.1 | 324.3 | 85.0 |
| Hollow | 96 | Face shell | 35.3 | 320.4 | 84.0 |
| Hollow | 120 | Face shell | 34.3 | 318.0 | 83.4 |

Rational Masonry Analysis
Example
Strength Design - non-reinforced

Rational Approach
for axial compression using TMS 402 (2016)
3. Calculate $r=\sqrt{I} / A$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TER } 14-1 B \quad 8^{\prime \prime} \text { SINGLE WITHE } \\
& \text { HOWOW BLOCK - GROUT } 24^{\prime \prime} 0 . C . \text {-FACE SHEUMORTSR } \\
& A_{n}=51.3 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad I_{n}=355.3 \mathrm{~m}^{4} \quad \text { (NET) }
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Calculate $h / r$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\sqrt{\frac{I}{A}}=\sqrt{\frac{355.3}{51.3}}=1.952 \mathrm{~m} \\
& h / r=\frac{12^{\prime}(12)}{1.952}=73.75<99 \quad \therefore \text { ECO } 9.11
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Choose the axial strength equation, Pn :
(Equation 9-11) for $h / r<99$ If $h / r<99$ use TMS 402 eq.9-11 If $h / r>99$ use TMS 402 eq. $9-12$

$$
P_{n}=0.80\left\{0.80 A_{n} f_{m}^{\prime}\left[1-\left(\frac{h}{140 r}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}
$$

## Rational Masonry Analysis

Example
Strength Design - non-reinforced
Given: geometry: 8 " block, grouted 24 " oc. material: fem = 3000 psi Area $\mathrm{An}=51.3 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{ft}$ height $\mathrm{h}=12 \mathrm{ft}$ $r=1.952$ in

$$
P_{n}=0.8\left[0.8 A_{n} f_{m}^{\prime}\left(1-\left(\frac{n}{140 r}\right)^{2}\right)\right]
$$

6. Calculate $ø \mathrm{Pn}$
where $\varnothing$ for axial force $=0.90$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{n}=0.8\left[0.8(51.3)(3)\left(1-\left(\frac{1444^{\prime \prime}}{140\left(1.952^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{2}\right)\right] \\
& P_{n}=0.8[123.12-0.7223]=71.4 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{FT} \\
& \phi P_{n}=0.9(71.4)=64 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{fr}
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Check that $\varnothing \mathrm{Pn}$ is greater than $\mathrm{Pu} . \quad p_{v}=1.2(25)+1.6(20)=62 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{FT}$

$$
P_{u}=62 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{kr}<64 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{kr}=\phi \mathrm{ln} \therefore \text { ok }
$$

## Rational Approach

for axial compression using TMS 402 (2016)
(Equation 9-11) for $h / r<99$

$$
P_{n}=0.80\left\{0.80 A_{n} f_{m}^{\prime}\left[1-\left(\frac{h}{140 r}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}
$$

Stability requires at least 2 points of intersection.


Force is more evenly resisted with centroid of walls in the kern of slab


## Empirical Approach

TMS 402-16 Tab. CC A.1.1 Checklist for use of empirical design

## foundation:



TMS 402-2016
Table CC-A.1.1 - Checklist for use of Appendix A - Empirical Design of Masonry

| 1. | Risk Category IV structures, or portions thereof, are not permitted to be designed using Appendix A. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Partitions are not permitted to be designed using Appendix A. |  |  |
| 3. | Use of empirical design is limited based on Seismic Design Category, as described in the following table. |  |  |
|  | Seismic Design Category | Participating Walls | Non-Participating Walls, except partition walls |
|  | A | Allowed by Appendix A | Allowed by Appendix A |
|  | B | Not Allowed | Allowed by Appendix A |
|  | C |  | With prescriptive reinforcement per 7.4.3.1 ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | D, E, and F | Not Allowed | Not Allowed |
|  | ${ }^{1}$ Lap splices are required to be designed and detailed in accordance with the requirements of Chapters 8 or 9 . |  |  |
| 4. | Use of empirical design is limited based on wind speed at the project site, as described in Code A.1.2.3 and Code Table A.1.1. |  |  |
| 5. | If wind uplift on roofs result in net tension, empirical design is not permitted (A.8.3.1). |  |  |
| 6. | Loads used in the design of masonry must be listed on the design drawings (1.2.1b). |  |  |
| 7. | Details of anchorage to structural frames must be included in the design drawings (1.2.1e). |  |  |
| 8. | The design is required to include provisions for volume change (1.2.1h). The design drawings are required to include the locations and sizing of expansion, control, and isolation joints. |  |  |
| 9. | If walls are connected to structural frames, the connections and walls are required to be designed to resist the interconnecting forces and to accommodate deflections (4.4). | frames, the connections and mmodate deflections (4.4). <br> ad and uplift analysis for ext ame or roofing system. | walls are required to be designed to resist the ior walls that receive wind load and are |
| 10. | Masonry not laid in running bond (for example, stack bond masonry) is required to have horizontal reinforcement (4.5). |  |  |
| 11. | A project quality assurance plan is required (3.1) with minimum requirements given in TMS 602 Tables 3 and 4 for Quality Assurance Level 1. |  |  |
| 12. | The resultant of gravity loads must be determined and assured to be located within certain limitations for walls and piers (A.1.2.1). |  |  |
| 13. | Ensure compliance of the design with prescriptive floor, roof, and wall-to-structural framing anchorage requirements, as well as other anchorage requirements (A.8.3 and A.8.4). |  |  |
| 14. | Type N mortar is not permitted for foundation walls (A.6.3.1(g)). |  |  |
| 15. | Design shear wall lengths, spacings, and orientations to meet the requirements of Code A.3.1. |  |  |

# Empirical Approach 

Risk Catagories:<br>ASCE - 7<br>category IV is "not permitted"<br>with empirical approach

| Use or Occupancy of Buildings and Structures | Risk Category |
| :---: | :---: |
| Buildings and other structures that represent low risk to human life in the event of failure | I |
| All buildings and other structures except those listed in Risk Categories I, III, and IV | II |
| Buildings and other structures, the failure of which could pose a substantial risk to human life | III |
| Buildings and other structures, not included in Risk Category IV, with potential to cause a substantial economic impact and/or mass disruption of day-to-day civilian life in the event of failure |  |
| Buildings and other structures not included in Risk Category IV (including, but not limited to, facilities that manufacture, process, handle, store, use, or dispose of such substances as hazardous fuels, hazardous chemicals, hazardous waste, or explosives) containing toxic or explosive substances where the quantity of the material exceeds a threshold quantity established by the Authority Having Jurisdiction and is sufficient to pose a threat to the public if released ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Buildings and other structures designated as essential facilities | IV |
| Buildings and other structures, the failure of which could pose a substantial hazard to the community |  |
| Buildings and other structures (including, but not limited to, facilities that manufacture, process, handle, store, use, or dispose of such substances as hazardous fuels, hazardous chemicals, or hazardous waste) containing sufficient quantities of highly toxic substances where the quantity of the material exceeds a threshold quantity established by the Authority Having Jurisdiction and is sufficient to pose a threat to the public if released ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Buildings and other structures required to maintain the functionality of other Risk Category IV structures |  |

## Empirical Approach

## Wind limitations:

Basic wind speed $\leq 115 \mathrm{mph}$
(see TMS 402-16 Tab. A.1.1)


ASCE 7-2016 basic wind speeds for risk cat. II

## Seismic limitations:

Can generally be used for Seismic Design Category (SDC) A, B, or C , or only A if part of the seismic lateral force resisting system.

Seismic zones A-E

## Empirical Design of Masonry <br> TMS 402-16

Height limits by wind speed and application

Table A.1.1 Limitations based on building height and basic wind speed

| Element Description | Building Height, ft (m) | Basic Wind Speed, mph (mps) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than or equal to 115 <br> (51) | Over 115 (51) and less than or equal to-120 (54) | Over 120 (54) and less than or equal to 125 $(56)$ | Over 125 (56) |
| Masonry elements that are part of the lateral-force-resisting system | 35 (11) and less | Permitted |  |  | Not Permitted |
| Interior masonry loadbearing elements that are not part of the lateral-force-resisting system in buildings other than enclosed as defined by ASCE 7 | Over 180 (55) | Not Permitted |  |  |  |
|  | Over 60 (18) and less than or equal to 180 (55) | Permitted | Not Permitted |  |  |
|  | Over 35 (11) and less than or equal to 60 (18) | Permitted |  | Not Permitted |  |
|  | 35 (11) and less | Permitted |  |  | Not Permitted |
| Exterior masonry elements that are not part of the lateral-force-resisting system | Over 180 (55) | Not Permitted |  |  |  |
|  | Over 60 (18) and less than or equal to $180(55)$ | Permitted  <br>   |  |  |  |
|  | Over 35 (11) and less than or equal to 60 (18) |  | itted | Not Permitted |  |
| Exterior masonry elements | 35 (11) and less | Permitted |  |  | Not Permitted |

Basic wind speed as given in ASCE 7

## Empirical Design of Masonry TEK 14-8B (also TMS 402 - Tab. A.5.1) International Building Code (IBC) Limitations:

## 1. Lateral support requirements

2. Location of gravity load (in middle $1 / 3$ of wall)

## 3. Maximum unreinforced spans

| Table 2-Wall Lateral Support Requirements (ref. 1) |
| :--- |
| Maximum wall length-to <br> Chickness or height-to <br> thickness ratio |
| Construction (unreinforced) |$|$


| Table 3-Maximum Unreinforced Wall Spans, ft (m) ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wall thickness, in. (mm) 6 (152) |  | (203) | 10 | 5) |
| Bearing walls |  |  |  |  |
| Solid or solid grouted | $10(3.0)^{\text {B }}$ | 13.3 (4.1) | 16.6 | 20 (6.1) |
| All other | $9(2.7)^{\text {B }}$ | 12 (3.7) | 15 (4.5) | 18 (5.5) |
| Nonbearing walls |  |  |  |  |
| Exterior | 9 (2.7) | 12 (3.7) | 15 (4.5) | 18 (5.5) |
| Interior | 18 (5.5) | 24 (7.3) | 30 (9. | 36 (11) |
| Cantilever Walls ${ }^{\text {C }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Solid | 3 (0.9) | 4 (1.2) | 5 (1 | 6 (1.8) |
| Hollow | 2 (0.6) | 2.6 (0.8) | 3.3 (1.0) | 4 (1.2) |
| Parapets ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.5 (0.5) | 2 (0.6) | 2.5 | 3 (0.9) |
| A Note that Ref. 6 includes modified requirements for walls with openings. |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {B }}$ Unreinforced 6-in. (152-mm) thick bearing walls are limited to one story in height. |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ For these cases, spans are maximum wall heights. |  |  |  |  |

Masonry strength, f'm, based on unit strength, fu, and mortar type


Clay Masonry

| Required Net Area of Clay Mas | pressive Strength <br> Units (psi) fu | f'm <br> For Net Area Compressive Strength of Masonry (psi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| When Used With Type M or S Mortar | When Used With Type N Mortar |  |
| 1,700 | 2,100 | 1,000 |
| 3,350 | 4,150 | 1,500 |
| 4,950 | 6,200 | 2,000 |
| 6,600 | 8,250 | 2,500 |
| 8,250 | 10,300 | 3,000 |
| 9,900 | -- | 3,500 |
| 11,500 | -- | 4,000 |

(From Masonry Standards Joint Committee Specifications for Masonry Structures, ACI 530.1/ASCE 6/TMS 602-99)


Concrete Masonry

| Required Net Area Compressive Strength <br> of Concrete Masonry Units (psi) fu | f'm <br> For Net Area <br> Compressive <br> Strength of <br> Masonry (psi) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| When Used With <br> Type M or S Mortar | When Used With <br> Type N Mortar | 1,300 |
| 1,000 |  |  |
| 1,250 | 2,150 | 1,500 |
| 2,800 | 3,050 | 2,000 |
| 3,750 | 4,050 | 2,500 |
| 4,800 | 5,250 | 3,000 |

(From International Building Code 2000 and Masonry Standards Joint Committee Specifications for Masonry Structures, ACI 530.1/ASCE 6/TMS 602-99)

## Empirical Design of Masonry TEK 14-8B (also TMS 402 - Tab. A.4.2) Allowable compressive stress of concrete masonry:

Solid or solidly grouted walls

| Allowable compressive stresses based on gross cross-sectional area, $\mathrm{psi}(\mathrm{MPa})^{\mathrm{A}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross area compressive strength of unit, psi (MPa) | Type M or S mortar | Type N mortar |
| Solid and Solidly Grouted Masonry (refs. 1, 6): Solid concrete brick: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $8,000(55)$ or greater | 350 (2.41) | 300 (2.07) |
| 4,500 (31) | 225 (1.55) | 200 (1.38) |
| 2,500 (17) | 160 (1.10) | 140 (0.97) |
| 1,500 (10) | 115 (0.79) | 100 (0.69) |
| Grouted concrete masonry: |  |  |
| 4,500 (31) or greater | 225 (1.55) | 200 (1.38) |
| 2,500 (17) | 160 (1.10) | 140 (0.97) |
| 1,500 (10) | 115 (0.79) | 100 (0.69) |
| Solid concrete masonry units: |  |  |
| 3,000 (21) or greater | 225 (1.55) | 200 (1.38) |
| 2,000 (14) | 160 (1.10) | 140 (0.97) |
| 1,200 (8.3) | 115 (0.79) | 100 (0.69) |
| Hollow walls (noncomposite masonry bonded ${ }^{\text {B }}$ ): |  |  |
| Solid units: |  |  |
| 2,500 (17) or greater | 160 (1.10) | 140 (0.97) |
| 1,500 (10) | 115 (0.79) | 100 (0.69) |

## Hollow unit walls

$\left.\begin{array}{|lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Allowable compressive stresses } \\ \text { based on gross cross-sectional } \\ \text { area, psi } \\ (\mathrm{MPa})^{\mathrm{A}}\end{array} \\ \text { Gross area compressive } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Type M or S }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Type } \mathrm{N} \\ \text { mortar }\end{array} \\ \text { mortar }\end{array}\right]$

Hollow loadbearing CMU, 8 in. $<t<12$ in. (203 to 305 mm$)^{\text {D }}$ :

| $2,000(14)$ or greater | $125(0.86)$ | $110(0.76)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $1,500(10)$ | $105(0.72)$ | $90(0.62)$ |
| $1,000(6.9)$ | $65(0.49)$ | $60(0.41)$ |
| $700(4.8)$ | $55(0.38)$ | $50(0.35)$ |

Hollow loadbearing CMU, $t \geq 12$ in $(305 \mathrm{~mm})^{\mathrm{D}}$ :

| $2,000(14)$ or greater | $115(0.79)$ | $100(0.69)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $1,500(10)$ | $95(0.66)$ | $85(0.59)$ |
| $1,000(6.9)$ | $60(0.41)$ | $55(0.38)$ |
| $700(4.8)$ | $50(0.35)$ | $45(0.31)$ |

Hollow walls (noncomposite masonry bonded ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ ):

| $t \leq 8 \mathrm{in} .(203 \mathrm{~mm})^{\mathrm{D}}$ | $75(0.52)$ | $70(0.48)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8<t<12$ in $(203 \text { to } 305 \mathrm{~mm})^{\mathrm{D}}$ | $70(0.48)$ | $65(0.45)$ |
| $t \geq 12$ in $(305 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m})^{\mathrm{D}}$ | $60(0.41)$ | $55(0.38)$ |

## Empirical Concrete Masonry

Procedure using TMS 402-2016
Given: location, geometry, material Find: strength (load capacity)

1. Check axial loading - must be within middle $1 / 3$
2. Check seismic category to be $A, B$, or C , or only A if part of the seismic
 lateral force resisting system.
3. Check wind speed (ASCE-7 2016) compare with Tab. A.1.1
4. Check minimum thickness.

1 story $=6$ " min. 2 story $=8 " \mathrm{~min}$.
5. Check lateral support (vertical or horizontal) tables 2 and 3 TEX 14-8B or TMS 402 - Tab. A.5.1
6. Determine allowable compressive stress from table 4 TEX 14-8B or TMS 402 - Tab. A.4.2
7. Allowable load = (stress) (gross area) (not LRFD so no $\gamma$ factors)

## Empirical Design Example

## Given:

8" hollow non-reinforced CMU wall interior wall, Ann Arbor, Mich.
LL $=150 \mathrm{psf}$
Find:
LL capacity
Checks:
Axially loaded :
loaded within middle 1/3 (kern)
Seismic Category:
A, B, or C , or only A if part of the seismic lateral force resisting system

Wind:
less that 115 mph (ASCE 7-2016)


Axis Losing
For AnN ARBOR:
$S D C \rightarrow A$
WIND LOAD 107 merit $<115$

## Wind and Seismic Limits

Wind for Ann Arbor - 107 mph SCD for Ann Arbor - Zones A


## Empirical Design Example <br> MAX HEMGHT $\checkmark$ Checks: <br> Maximum height - Table A.1.1 <br> - wind speed $=107 \mathrm{mph}$ <br> - interior, loadbearing <br> $H / t$ (TABLE 2) <br> $\frac{120^{\prime \prime}}{8}{ }^{\prime \prime}=15<18$ <br> MAx. UNREINF. HEIGItT <br> TABLE $3 \rightarrow 10^{\prime}<12^{\prime}$ <br> $\Delta_{g}=7.625 \times 12=91.5 \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}}$ <br> 

- $\mathrm{h}<35 \mathrm{ft}$

Table A.1.1 Limitations based on building height and basic wind speed

| Element Description | Building Height, ft (m) | Basic Wind Speed, mph (mps) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than or equal to 115 (51) | Over 115 (51) and less than or equal to-120 (54) | Over 120 (54) and less than or equal to 125 (56) | Over 125 <br> (56) |
| Masonry elements that are part of the lateral-force-resisting system | 35 (11) and less | Permitted |  |  | Not Permitted |
| Interior masonry loadbearing elements that are not part of the lateral-force-resisting system in buildings other than enclosed as defined by ASCE 7 | Over 180 (55) | Not Permitted |  |  |  |
|  | Over 60 (18) and less than or equal to 180 (55) | Permitted | Not Permitted |  |  |
|  | Over 35 (11) and less than or equal to 60 (18) | Permitted |  | Not Permitted |  |
|  | 35 (11) and less |  | Permitted |  | Not Permitted |
| Exterior masonry elements that are not part of the lateral-force-resisting system | Over 180 (55) | Not Permitted |  |  |  |
|  | Over 60 (18) and less than or equal $\text { to } 180(55)$ | Permitted |  | Not Permitted |  |
|  | Over 35 (11) and less than or equal $\text { to } 60(18)$ |  | itted | Not Permitted |  |
| Exterior masonry elements | 35 (11) and less | Permitted |  |  | Not Permitted |



## Empirical Design Example

Find allowable stress - table 4
Find load
$P=F A g$
Calculate per foot using gross Area

| psi (Mpa) | psi (Mpa) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hollow Unit Masonry (Units Complying With ASTM |  |  |
| C 90-06 or Later) (ref. 6) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ : | Type M or S | Type N |
| Hollow loadbearing CMU, $t \leq 8$ in mortar |  |  |
| 2,000 (14) or greater | 140 (0.97) | 120 (0.83) |
| 1,500 (10) | 115 (0.79) | 100 (0.69) |
| 1,000 (6.9) | 75 (0.52) | 70 (0.48) |
| 700 (4.8) | 60 (0.41) | 55 (0.38) |
| Hollow loadbearing CMU, 8 in. $<t<12 \mathrm{in}$. (203 to 305 mm$)^{\text {D }}$ |  |  |
| 2,000 (14) or greater | 125 (0.86) | 110 (0.76) |
| 1,500 (10) | 105 (0.72) | 90 (0.62) |
| 1,000 (6.9) | 65 (0.49) | 60 (0.41) |
| 700 (4.8) | 55 (0.38) | 50 (0.35) |
| Hollow loadbearing CMU, $t \geq 12$ in $(305 \mathrm{~mm})^{\text {D }}$ : |  |  |
| 2,000 (14) or greater | 115 (0.79) | 100 (0.69) |
| 1,500 (10) | 95 (0.66) | 85 (0.59) |
| 1,000 (6.9) | 60 (0.41) | 55 (0.38) |
| 700 (4.8) | 50 (0.35) | 45 (0.31) |
| Hollow walls (noncomposite masonry bonded ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ ): |  |  |
| $t \leq 8 \mathrm{in} .(203 \mathrm{~mm})^{\text {D }}$ | 75 (0.52) | 70 (0.48) |
| $8<t<12$ in (203 to 305 mm$)^{\text {D }}$ | D 70 (0.48) | 65 (0.45) |
| $t \geq 12$ in $(305 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{m})^{\mathrm{D}}$ | 60 (0.41) | 55 (0.38) |



$$
\text { TABLE } 4 \text { HOLLOW \&" } f_{0}=1000
$$

TYPE $S \rightarrow 75 \mathrm{psi}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P=F A_{g} & =75(7.625 \times 12) \\
& =6862 \mathrm{k} / 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { TRIBUTARY STRIP }=28^{\prime}
$$

$$
P=6862=D C\left(28^{\prime}\right)+L L\left(28^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
=150(28)+C L(28)
$$

$L L=95$ PSF CAPACITY

