# ARCHITECTURE 324 Structures II

Recitation 12 Sections 04&05

VERDIENES

Instructor Peter von Buelow

> GSI Alireza Fazel April 18, 2025

## Contents

### $\rightarrow$ Summary

- → Tower projects Final Report: April 22
- $\rightarrow$  +20 bonus points
- $\rightarrow$  Masonry Walls

### $\rightarrow \textbf{Problem Set}$

→ Problem set 11 (Masonry Walls)

### $\rightarrow$ Lab

→ Lab09 (Lateral Stability)



# Tower project (April 22)

Architecture 324 Structures II Tower Project	Prof. Peter von Buelow Winter 2025	Architecture 324 Structures II Guidelines for Final Report Comparison of the structures of the structu	Winter 202
Description This project gives students the chance to apply concepts learned in column sustructural system that carries primarily a compression load – a tower. Wo	analysis to the design of rk is t⊛be done in groups	After tauge testing is approach to write the final report. here are some guidelines to PRELIMINARY REPORT (re-submit with final report	) 40
of up to four people. Theore ject is divided into 3 parts: 1) initial conceptual development and testing, 3) final analysis and documentation.	design, 2) design	After tower testing is over and you begin to write the final reports, here are some guidelines to follow.	60
		Two weight $\leq 402$ (5 nts): height $= 48^{\circ}$ (5 nts): holds $\geq 50$ lbs (5 nts)	30
Goals	action	variables. Make it legible. Either very nearby by hand or use an equation editor like in Microsoft	20
<ul> <li>to explore design parameters or geometry and material under compre-</li> <li>to develop a design of a compression member to meet the criteria be</li> </ul>	low.	Word. In Word, go to Insert->Object and select Microsoft Equation. In just a few minutes you should be able to get a hang of producing equations. It's pretty simple to use. If you use Excel	30
<ul> <li>to make some rough hand calculation to estimate the expected performance of the test the compression member and record the results.</li> </ul>	rmance.	make sure you label the equations - don't just show results. FINAL REPORT REQUIREMENTS	150
to document the results in a well organized and clear report format		Preliminary Design Development	20
<ul> <li>to document the results in a well organized and clear report format.</li> </ul>		<ol> <li>Quality of graphics. You should have clear line-drawings from programs such as</li> <li>How cross-sectional design of preliminary tower was chosen</li> </ol>	4
		Illustrator, AutoCAD, or similar to produce dimensioned drawings of your models. If using How elevation of preliminary tower was developed (e.g. bracing, taper, etc.)	4
Criteria		Rhino, use the Make2D function to get clear illustrations. Photographs of your final model Why/how cross-section was not adjusted from preliminary report	4
<ul> <li>The ower is to be made of wood. Either linear wood (sticks) or wood page</li> </ul>	anels (sheets) can be	before and/or after testing will be required in addition to your drawings. Why/how elevation of tower was not adjusted from preliminary report	4
used. Glue can be used to connect the elements. Gusset plates at the j	oints are allowed and can	Discussion of how basic principles of columns supported these decisions	4
also be glued. But no steel pins or fasteners may be used.		3. Submit reports on 8-1/2" x 11" paper only. Reports on 11x17 paper will not be	
<ul> <li>Wood: any species. maximum cross-sectional dimension = 1/4".</li> </ul>		accented Revised/Tested Tower Design Analysis [SHOW WORK AND UNITS]	50
<ul> <li>NO paper, mylar or plastic or string or dental floss.</li> </ul>		Calculated modeled axial forces and derivation of required memory cross-	10
<ul> <li>If a member is made to laminating multiple pieces together, the maximum</li> </ul>	um cross-sectional	Be clean polished and professional Write clearly legibly and with good grammar	7
<ul> <li>If a member is made by familiating multiple pieces together, the maximum dimension or thickness still cannot exceed 1/4"</li> </ul>	un cross-sectional	4. De clean, poisteu, and protessional, while dearly, and will good graninal.	/
The balance of the test of		Provinced your report before turning it in. Use appropriate professional language in your report.	7
<ul> <li>The height of the tower = 48".</li> </ul>		The mark of a good report is one that is easy to understand by someone not familiar with the understand by som	/
<ul> <li>The tower must hold at least 50 lbs.</li> </ul>		project.	8
<ul> <li>The entire tower can weigh no more than 4 oz.</li> </ul>		Tower stability (as whole) - but the stability (as whole)	8
The top of the tower must be loadable. The weights will be stacked on t	on of the ower, but you	5. Turn in the ORIGINAL graded copy of your Preliminary Report with your Final Prediction of capacity of lower and mode of failure	10
may optionally use a loose piece of MDF or plywood as a tray under the counted in either weight or load)	weights. (It will not be	Report.	20
counted in either weight of load)		A la the Device dTested Tested Design (the First Device device) and even of the Tested Design (the Cores earlies) and elevations(s) of the even	5
<ul> <li>Towers will be graded on their low weight, high load-carrying capacity, a</li> </ul>	and the load/weight ratio.	b. In the Revised/Tested Tower section of the Final Report (as listed on the Tower Project	5
The evaluation formula is:		Tally Sheet - Final Report Requirements), do all the listed calculations for your tower as tested.	5
(4/weight in OZ) + (load in LBS/50) + (load LBS/weight	OZ)x1.5	That is, you should be analyzing the tower that you actually built and tested. This is not a	5
<ul> <li>The score will be normalized to a range of 50 to 100. It is used togethe assess your project (a detailed evaluation form is given separately)</li> </ul>	r with report scores to	reiteration of the Preliminary Report. We expect that certain changes were made from the cross-bracing) with units	
		Testing Results	30
		7 In calculating the overall tower blocking (blocking of whole tower as opposed to	6
Procedure		individual member buckling) you should use the Mamert of Inertia (1) for the tower as a whole Tested capacity of tower	6
<ol> <li>Develop a structural concept for a tower meeting the above contenta.</li> </ol>		List taken the tops areas a section incoment of the day prime a whole. Observations of testing (loading, any buckling observed, etc.)	6
<ol><li>Analyze the design concept with either hand calculations or a computer</li></ol>	r program (e.g. Dr. Frame)	1 is taken from the tower cross-section ignoring any cross bracing (only primary vertical Description of mode of failure	6
3. Determine the capacity of the major members and of the overall tower (	total capacity in LBS)	members). Using that value for I, you then apply the Euler Bucking Equation, using K = 1.0 Images of failure	6
<ol><li>Estimate your expected score using the formula above.</li></ol>		(this assumes the mass of the load has an inertial force that holds the top in place at the	
5 Write the preliminary report		moment of buckling). Post-Testing Analysis	30
6 - Construct the structural model		Comparison of testing results with predicted capacity and modes of failure	10
7 Tast the model. 5 pound steel here will be placed on ten of the model.	until the model fails	<ol> <li>Mechanical properties for basswood, are given on the preliminary requirements sheet. If</li> <li>Discussion of discrepancies between results</li> </ol>	10
<ul> <li>(bar size: 1 ½" x 2" x 5 13/16").</li> </ul>	unur me model talls.	you used materials other than basswood, show what values you used for E, F and density.	10
<ol> <li>Produce final report documenting requirements and process. See also s</li> </ol>	score sheet.	Cite your sources.	250
Due Dates Scoring See Course Schedule Preliminary Report 40 p Testing 60 p	ts	9. Throughout your report, check that your numbers are reasonable. If you get, for example, a predicted load capacity of 70 kips, you probably did something wrong. (Note: re-submit your Preliminary Design Proposal with your Final Court of the submit your Preliminary Design Proposal with your Final Court of the submit your Preliminary Design Proposal with your Final Court of the submit your Preliminary Design Proposal with your Final Court of the submit your Preliminary Design Proposal with your Final Court of the submit your Preliminary Design Proposal with your Final Court of the submit your Preliminary Design Proposal with your Final Court of the submit your Preliminary Design Proposal with your Final Court of the submit your Preliminary Design Proposal with your Final Court of the submit your Preliminary Design Proposal with your Final Court of the submit your Preliminary Design Proposal with your Final Court of the submit your Preliminary Design Proposal with your	al Report.)

## **Tower project** (April 22)



#### Testing Results/Post-Testing Analysis

Final weight of tower: 4.1 oz +2 Final height of tower: 50 in Tested capacity of tower: 230 lbs

The tower continued to hold steady and stand up straight until the 200-pound mark. We had been placing weights on the tower in pairs (so 10 pounds at a time), and right before we got the tower to 230 pounds, it began to lean towards the bench, to the right (facing the bench from the camera). After placing the final 10 pounds, the tower leaned significantly more and snapped - all within a very fast timeframe of less than half a second.

12

As shown in the picture below (figures 5 and 6), the tower buckled outwards towards the left (facing the bench from the camera), and inwards on the right side. What likely happened is that the back right column bore more than 1/4 of the weight - perhaps due to brick placement, perhaps due to craft or material deficiencies - and snapped prematurely as a consequence - it had reached its critical buckling load (not critical crushing, as we had expected)! Once that column was broken, the rest inevitably fell because now they had to split the 230 pounds evenly, as well as deal with bending and twisting. 44

More specifically, the column snapped at the intersection of one of the notched connections of the back right column. This makes it likely that the main reason for buckling was both craft and the inherent nature of our notched connection.



#### Post-Testing Analysis

So why did we not meet our 848-Ib goal? Due to the inevitable imperfections in craft, joints (both bracing and column notched connections), brick placement, material deficiencies (warping, knotting, etc.), and properties such as wood grain - which determine the integrity of the wood in certain axes - the tower did not hold the weight we expected. In fact, these properties make it incredibly likely that even under perfect environmental conditions - no humidity, a level ground, etc. - the 212 lb/column buckling capacity would have been impossible to achieve in any case.Rather, it held 230 pounds (which was still a significant amount, at 78% of the expected 296.56-lb crushing capacity)! In addition, these aforementioned factors, the tower ended up buckling, not crushing. 12 12

For future improvement, we could aim to make the aforementioned notched column connection stronger - either through a different methof of joining the three components of each column together, or additional support around the connection (such as a wrapping). Also the way the tower leaned suggests that there was an inbalance between the 4 columns, which caused one to bear more of the load. If we align all the columns better, it will carry more load. +6



Paul Ligeti & Yinying Chen "Tower Group" Structures II 03/28/2016

JZ

Complete your course and recitation evaluations for ARCH-324 to earn **20+ bonus points**! All you need to do is:

- Finish both evaluations.
- Send me a quick screenshot of your completion confirmation.

Email: arfazel@umich.edu



## Brick

### Clay Brick

- Molded
  - or
- Extruded
- Cored adds stability, strength cored < 25% > hollow
- Fired (2000° F)
- Sizes use 3/8" mortar bed
- Six ways to position in wall:





3/8" Mortar Joint Between Bricks (Most Common)

BRICK TYPE	K SPECIFIED SIZE NOMINAL D X H X L SIZE (INCHES) D X H X L		VERTICAL COURSE	
Standard	3 5/8 × 2 1/4 × 8	Not modular	3 courses = 8"	
Modular	3 5/8 × 2 1/4 × 7 5/8	4 × 2 2/3 × 8	3 courses = 8"	
Norman	3 5/8 × 2 1/4 × 11 5/8	4 × 2 2/3 × 12	3 courses = 8"	
Roman	3 5/8 × 1 5/8 × 11 5/8	4 × 2 × 12	1 course = 2"	
Jumbo	3 5/8 × 2 3/4 × 8	4 × 3 × 8	1 course = 3"	
Economy	3 5/8 × 3 5/8 × 7 5/8	$4 \times 4 \times 8$	1 course = 4"	
Engineer	3 5/8 × 2 13/16 × 7 5/8	4×31/5×8	5 courses = 16"	
King	2 3/4 × 2 5/8 × 9 5/8	Not modular	5 courses = 16"	
Queen	2 3/4 × 2 3/4 × 7 5/8	Not modular	5 courses = 16"	
0000000	35/8×35/8×115/8	4 × 4 × 12	1 course - 4"	





## Concrete

Autoclaved Aeriated Concrete (AAC)

Used predominately in Europe Developed by Dr. Johan Axel Eriksson in mid- 1920s in Sweden as "Ytong" since 1943, Hebel blocks in Germany Current largest production in China Lighter weight Better insulation value Better fire resistance Better moisture transmission Larger blocks for faster erection Can be shaped on site



Structures II





Slide 7 of 26

Autoclaved Aeriated Concrete

Easily shaped on site

University of Michigan, TCAUP

Thin mortar bed - 1/8" (1mm to 3mm)

Tools for placement (below)















Slide 9 of 26





Structures II

### Mortar





mortar type	Portland cement	lime	sand
М	1	<sup>1</sup> 4	3 <sup>1</sup> 2
S	1	<sup>1</sup> 2	4 <sup>1</sup> 2
Ν	1	1	6
0	1	2	9
sur (assur	m should equa ning that sand	l 1/3 of san has void ra	d volume atio of 1 ir



# Analysis and design

### Analysis and Design

### **Empirical approach**

based on experience limits on lateral loading limits on height limits on eccentricity (basically, no flexure) non-reinforced

### **Rational approach**

based on Strength Design (LRFD) either reinforced or non-reinforced limited by strength



Slide 6 of 28

University of Michigan, TCAUP

Structures II

Rational Masonry Analysis Procedure Strength Design (LRFD) – non-reinforced

Given: geometry, material Find: axial compressive load capacity, Pn

- 1. Determine the masonry strength, f'm, based on unit strength, fu, and mortar type (table)
- 2. Find the net area, A<sub>n</sub>, and Moment of Inertia, I<sub>n</sub> (see NCMA TEK 14-1B with HW problem pdf.)
- 3. Calculate radius of gyration,  $r = \sqrt{I}/A$
- 4. Calculate h/r
- 5. Choose the axial strength equation, Pn: If h/r < 99 use TMS 402 eq.9-11 If h/r > 99 use TMS 402 eq.9-12
- 6. Calculate øPn where ø for axial force = 0.90
- 7. Check that øPn is greater than Pu.

University of Michigan, TCAUP

Structures II

Rational Approach for axial compression using TMS 402 (2016)

(Equation 9-11) for h/r < 99  $P_n = 0.80 \left\{ 0.80 A_n f'_m \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{h}{140r} \right)^2 \right] \right\}$ 

(Equation 9-12) for h/r > 99 $P_n = 0.80 \left[ 0.80 A_n f'_m \left( \frac{70 r}{h} \right)^2 \right]$ 

### 11. Masonry Walls

Using the strength method for axial compression (masonry spanning vertically) described in TMS 402, determine the safety of the given concrete masonry wall (pass or fail). Calculate the factored nominal axial strength, phi\_Pn and compare it to the required strength, Pu for the given loads. (loads are given without factors)

DATASET: 1 -23-	
Height of wall, h	21 FT
Nominal thickness of wall	10 IN
grouted cells o.c. spacing	24 IN
Masonry compressive strength, fm	1500 PS
The wall DL	13 KL
The wall LL	16 KL



### #Q1: Actual wall thickness, t (see TEK 14-1B)

### 11. Masonry Walls

Using the strength method for axial compression (masonry spanning vertically) described in TMS 402, determine the safety of the given concrete masonry wall (pass or fail). Calculate the factored nominal axial strength, phi\_Pn and compare it to the required strength, Pu for the given loads. (loads are given without factors)

DATASET: 1 -23-	
Height of wall, h	21 FT
Nominal thickness of wall	10 IN
grouted cells o.c. spacing	24 IN
Masonry compressive strength, fm	1500 PSI
The wall DL	13 KLF
The wall LL	16 KLF

$$t_{nominal} = 10$$
 "  
 $t_{actual} = 9\frac{5}{8} = 9.625$  "



National Concrete Masonry Association an information series from the national authority on concrete masonry technology

### SECTION PROPERTIES OF CONCRETE MASONRY WALLS

**TEK 14-1B** Structural (2007)

**NCMATEK** 

Keywords: concrete masonry walls, engineered design, gross area, moment of inertia, net area, radius of gyration, reinforced concrete masonry, reinforced properties, section modulus, section properties, structural properties

#### INTRODUCTION

Engineered design of concrete masonry uses section properties to determine strength, stiffness and deflection characteristics. These design philosophies are summarized in *Allowable Stress Design of Concrete Masonry*, *Strength Design of Concrete Masonry* and *Post-Tensioned Concrete Masonry Wall Design* (refs. 1, 2, 3).

#### SECTION PROPERTIES

Tables 1 through 13 summarize section properties of grouted and ungrouted 4-, 6-, 8-, 10-, 12-, 14- and 16-in. (102-, 152-, 203-, 254-, 305-, 356- and 406mm) wide concrete masonry walls, based on:

standard unit dimensions are based on the minimum face shell and web thickness requirements of *Standard Specification for Loadbearing Concrete Masonry Units*, ASTM C 90-06 (ref. 4) as shown in Figure 1, except as noted in Tables 8 through 13. Note that prior to ASTM C 90-06, two minimum face shell thicknesses for units 10-in. (254-mm) and wider were specified. With the introduction of ASTM C 90-06, the two face shell thicknesses were replaced with one minimum thickness requirement (1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> in. (32 mm)). See Reference 5 for further information. Tables 10 through 13 can be used for section properties of units complying with previous





### #Q2: Net area per foot of wall, An #Q3: Net moment of inertia per foot of wall, In

### 11. Masonry Walls

Using the strength method for axial compression (masonry spanning vertically) described in TMS 402, determine the safety of the given concrete masonry wall (pass or fail). Calculate the factored nominal axial strength, phi\_Pn and compare it to the required strength, Pu for the given loads. (loads are given without factors)

DATASET: 1 -23-	
Height of wall, h	21 FT
Nominal thickness of wall	10 IN
grouted cells o.c. spacing	24 IN
Inasonry compressive strength, fm	1500 PSI
The wall DL	13 KLF
The wall LL	16 KLF

$$A_n = 59.8 IN^2/ft$$
  
 $I_n = 656.2 IN^4/ft$ 

Table 4—10-inch (254-mm) Single Wythe Walls, 1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> in. (32 mm) Face Shells (standard)									
4a: Horizontal Section Properties (Masonry Spanning Vertically)									
	Grout	Mortar	Net cros	s-sectional	propertiesA	Avera	ge cross-sec	tional proper	ties <sup>B</sup>
Unit	spacing (in.)	bedding	$A_n$ (in. <sup>2</sup> /ft)	$I_n$ (in. <sup>4</sup> /ft)	$S_n$ (in. <sup>3</sup> /ft)	$A_{avg}$ (in. <sup>2</sup> /ft)	$I_{avg}$ (in.4/ft)	$S_{avg}$ (in. <sup>3</sup> /ft)	$r_{avg}$ (in.)
Hollow	No grout	Face shell	30.0	530.0	110.1	48.0	606.3	126.0	3.55
Hollow	No grout	Full	48.0	606.3	126.0	48.0	606.3	126.0	3.55
100% so	lid/solidly grouted	Full	115.5	891.7	185.3	115.5	891.7	185.3	2.78
Hollow	16	Face shell	7 <b>¥</b> .8	719.3	149.5	80.8	744.7	154.7	3.04
Hollow	24	Face shell	59.8	656.2	136.3	69.9	698.6	145.2	3.16
Hollow	32	Face shell	52.4	624.6	129.8	64.4	675.5	140.4	3.24
Hollow	40	Face shell	47.9	605.7	125.9	61.1	661.6	137.5	3.29
Hollow	48	Face shell	44.9	593.1	123.2	58.9	652.4	135.6	3.33
Hollow	72	Face shell	39.9	572.0	118.9	55.3	637.0	132.4	3.39
Hollow	96	Face shell	37.5	561.5	116.7	53.5	629.3	130.8	3.43
Hollow	120	Face shell	36.0	555.2	115.4	52.4	624.7	129.8	3.45
		4b: Vertical	Section Pr	operties (M	asonry Spai	ning Horizo	ontally)		
Hollow	No grout	Face shell	30.0	530.0	110.1	46.3	597.4	124.1	3.59
Hollow	No grout	Full	30.0	530.0	110.1	48.0	606.3	126.0	3.55
100% so	lid/solidly grouted	Full	115.5	891.7	185.3	115.5	891.7	185.3	2.78
Hollow	16	Face shell	72.8	710.8	147.7	89.1	778.3	161.7	2.96
Hollow	24	Face shell	58.5	650.5	135.2	74.8	718.0	149.2	3.10
Hollow	32	Face shell	51.4	620.4	128.9	67.7	687.9	142.9	3.19
Hollow	40	Face shell	47.1	602.3	125.2	63.4	669.8	139.2	3.25
Hollow	48	Face shell	44.3	590.2	122.6	60.6	657.7	136.7	3.29
Hollow	96	Face shell	37.1	560.1	116.4	53.5	627.6	130.4	3.43
Hollow	120	Face shell	35.7	554.1	115.1	52.0	621.6	129.2	3.46

### FOOTNOTES:

A sec

<sup>A</sup> Net cross-sectional properties determined from a vertical plane that coincides with the face shells of the units. Net cross-sectional properties are to be used for determining stress and strain resulting from the application of load.

<sup>B</sup> Average cross-sectional properties determined from two vertical planes calculated as the average of the net and solid properties. Average cross-sectional properties are to be used for determining stiffness and deflection of an element.

<sup>c</sup> Because of the small core size and resulting difficulty consolidating grout, 4-in. (102-mm) units are rarely grouted.



#Q4: Radius of gyration per foot of wall, r#Q5: Ratio of h/r#Q6: Which TMS equation used? (11 or 12)

DATASET: 1 -23-	
Height of wall, h	21 FT
Nominal thickness of wall	10 IN
grouted cells o.c. spacing	24 IN
Masonry compressive strength, f'm	1500 PSI
The wall DL	13 KLF
The wall LL	16 KLF

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{I}{A}} = \sqrt{\frac{656.2}{59.8}} = 3.31 IN$$

$$\frac{h}{r} = \frac{21 \, FT \, \times 12}{3.31} = 76.07$$

 $76.07 < 99 \Rightarrow \text{TMS 402 eq. 9} - 11$ 

Rational Masonry Analysis Procedure Strength Design (LRFD) – **non-reinforced** 

Given: geometry, material Find: axial compressive load capacity, Pn

- 1. Determine the masonry strength, f'm, based on unit strength, fu, and mortar type (table)
- 2. Find the net area, A<sub>n</sub>, and Moment of Inertia, I<sub>n</sub> (see NCMA TEK 14-1B with HW problem pdf.)
- 3. Calculate radius of gyration,  $r = \sqrt{l}/A$ 4. Calculate h/r
- 5. Choose the axial strength equation, Pn: If h/r < 99 use TMS 402 eq.9-11 If h/r > 99 use TMS 402 eq.9-12
- 6. Calculate øPn where ø for axial force = 0.90

Structures II

7. Check that øPn is greater than Pu.

University of Michigan, TCAUP

**Rational Approach** for axial compression using TMS 402 (2016)

(Equation 9-11) for h/r < 99  $P_n = 0.80 \left\{ 0.80 A_n f'_m \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{h}{140r} \right)^2 \right] \right\}$ 

(Equation 9-12) for h/r > 99  $P_n = 0.80 \left[ 0.80 A_n f'_m \left( \frac{70 r}{h} \right)^2 \right]$ 

#Q7: Nominal axial strength, Pn #Q8: Factored nominal axial strength, phi\_Pn

DATASET: 1 -23-	
Height of wall, h	21 FT
Nominal thickness of wall	10 IN
grouted cells o.c. spacing	24 IN
Masonry compressive strength, f'm	1500 PSI
The wall DL	13 KLF
The wall LL	16 KLF

$$P_n = 0.8 \left\{ 0.8A_n f'_m \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{h}{140r}\right)^2 \right] \right\}$$
$$= 0.8 \left\{ 0.8(59.8) 1.5 \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{21 \times 12}{140(3.31)}\right)^2 \right]$$

= 40.43 KLF

 $\varphi P_n = 0.9 \times 40.43 = 36.38 \, KLF$ 

Rational Masonry Analysis Procedure Strength Design (LRFD) - non-reinforced

Given: geometry, material Find: axial compressive load capacity, Pn

- Determine the masonry strength, f'm, based 1. on unit strength, fu, and mortar type (table)
- 2. Find the net area, An, and Moment of Inertia, In (see NCMA TEK 14-1B with HW problem pdf.)
- Calculate radius of gyration,  $r = \sqrt{I}/A$ 3.

4. Calculate h/r

University of Michigan, TCAUP

- 5. Choose the axial strength equation, Pn: If h/r < 99 use TMS 402 eq.9-11 If h/r > 99 use TMS 402 eq.9-12 Calculate øPn where ø for axial force = 0.90
- 6.
- Check that øPn is greater than Pu.

Structures II

**Rational Approach** for axial compression using TMS 402 (2016)

(Equation 9-11) for h/r < 99				
$P_n = 0.80 \Biggl\{ 0.80 A_n f'_m \Biggl[ 1 - \left(\frac{h}{140r}\right)^2 \Biggr] \Biggr\}$				

(Equation 9-12) for h/r > 99 $P_n = 0.80 \left[ 0.80 A_n f'_m \left( \frac{70 r}{h} \right)^2 \right]$ 



#Q9: Axial strength required by loads, Pu #Q10: Factored nominal axial strength, phi\_Pn

DATASET: 1 -23-	
Height of wall, h	21 FT
Nominal thickness of wall	10 IN
grouted cells o.c. spacing	24 IN
Masonry compressive strength, fm	1500 PSI
The wall DL	13 KLF
The wall LL	16 KLF

 $P_u = 1.2 DL + 1.6LL$ = 1.2 (13) + 1.6(16) = 41.2 KLF

$$P_u = 41.2$$
,  $\varphi P_n = 36.38$   
 $P_u > \varphi P_n \Rightarrow FAIL$ 

Rational Masonry Analysis Procedure Strength Design (LRFD) – non-reinforced

Given: geometry, material Find: axial compressive load capacity, Pn

- 1. Determine the masonry strength, f'm, based on unit strength, fu, and mortar type (table)
- 2. Find the net area, A<sub>n</sub>, and Moment of Inertia, I<sub>n</sub> (see NCMA TEK 14-1B with HW problem pdf.)
- 3. Calculate radius of gyration,  $r = \sqrt{l}/A$
- 4. Calculate h/r

University of Michigan, TCAUP

- 5. Choose the axial strength equation, Pn: If h/r < 99 use TMS 402 eq.9-11 If h/r > 99 use TMS 402 eq.9-12

Structures II

7. Check that øPn is greater than Pu.

Rational Approach for axial compression using TMS 402 (2016)

(Equation 9-11) for h/r < 99  $P_n = 0.80 \left\{ 0.80 A_n f'_m \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{h}{140r} \right)^2 \right] \right\}$ 

(Equation 9-12) for h/r > 99  $P_{n} = 0.80 \left[ 0.80 A_{n} f'_{m} \left( \frac{70 r}{h} \right)^{2} \right]$ 

15

## Lab09

Structures II Arch 324

Name 1 Name 2 Name 3

Lateral Stability

#### Description

This project investigates stable arrangements of structural walls against lateral loading.

#### Goals

- To observe the effects of lateral loading To investigate the criteria of stable wall patters To develop stable arrangements of shear walls based on the 2 point rule

#### Procedure

- Arrange the small wood walls on the foam core base to support the MDF slab.
   Make each of the six arrangements.
   Apply lateral and torsional accelerations to the base and note the effects on the assembly. Mark on the diagrams below which fail and which remain stable.
   Make your own stable and unstable arrangement.
- 5. Sketch the arrangements below and mark the intersection points.









## Lab09

### $\rightarrow$ Group work instructions

Please form groups of 2 to 4 students.

Please do not forget to write all group members' names on both sheets.

Return the completed sheets to me at the end of the session.

Please ensure that you attend the recitation sessions.

If you are unable to attend a session, send me an email so that we can discuss how to proceed. *Email: arfazel@umich.edu* 

